UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,757	09/12/2003	Christopher K. Davey	81091093	8156
	7590 08/08/200 ALL MCCOY RUSSEI	EXAMINER		
806 S.W. BRO	ADWAY, SUITE 600	OLSEN, KAJ K		
PORTLAND, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/661,7	57	DAVEY ET AL.		
		Examine	*	Art Unit		
		KAJ K. O	LSEN	1795		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fron - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the s	TUTORY PERIOD FO IGER, FROM THE MA available under the provisions of the mailing date of this commu- cified above, the maximum statu- tor extended period for reply w office later than three months after tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- nication. utory period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron dication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is F 3)□ Since this appl	communication(s) filed INAL. 2t cation is in condition for dance with the practice	o)∏ This action is r or allowance except	for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abov 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 ar</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6, 8, 1</u> 7)□ Claim(s) 8)□ Claim(s)		e withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	n is objected to by the filed on is/are: strequest that any object wing sheet(s) including the laration is objected to learn the street of the street is street.	a) accepted or b ion to the drawing(s) he correction is requi	pe held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PT tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ——·	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail E 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:)ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 6, 8, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimasaki et al (USP 5,740,675) in view of Seidenfuss (USP 5,929,328) and Jelden et al (USP 5,592,815).
- 3. Shimasaki discloses a system and method for determining a temperature of exhaust gas from an engine comprising an exhaust gas sensor 52 having an electric heating coil where said sensor communicates with exhaust gas, an electrical circuit for generating a signal indicative of the resistances of said heating coil when said coil is not de-energized, and a controller receiving said signal and calculating said temperature of said exhaust gas based on said signal. See fig. 1, abstract, and col. 5, l. 66 through col. 6, l. 40. See also fig. 12 and 13 and col. 7, l. 39 through col. 8, l. 7 for an embodiment where an infinitesimal current is utilized (i.e. the heater is de-energized). Shimasaki further discloses that the controller generates a duty cycle to successively energize and de-energize said coil. See col. 5, ll. 8-18. However, Shimasaki does not explicitly disclose that the controller calculates the temperature during a plurality of successive de-energized periods of the duty. Rather Shimasaki in the embodiment of fig. 13 utilizes switches separate from the duty cycle to affect temperature measurement. However, Seidenfuss teaches in an alternate temperature sensing means for an exhaust gas heater that the temperature measurement can be interfaced with any duty cycle for the heater. In particular, Seidenfuss

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757

Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

utilizes a single switch T1 that can both de-energize the heater and allow for the measurement of a current I_M analogous to the infinitesimal current of Shimasaki. See fig. 1 and 2 and col. 2, 1, 55 through col. 3, 1, 18. This is in contrast to Shimasaki, which requires three switches, two (62, 66) for the measurement of the infinitesimal current and one (Tr) for control of the duty cycle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Seidenfuss for the system and method of Shimasaki because the teaching of Seidenfuss required fewer switches, thereby simplifying circuit construction. In addition, the circuit of Seidenfuss obviates the need for a measuring resistor that can withstand the high current flows needed for the heater operation. See col. 2, Il. 8-15 and compare the prior art fig. 3 with fig. 1 of Seidenfuss and note that the prior art fig. 3 is analogous to the arrangement of fig. 3 and 13 of Shimasaki. Hence, it would have been further obvious to rely on the teaching of Seidenfuss for the circuitry of Shimasaki so as to avoid the use of a measuring resistor that must withstand the high heater currents. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize a measurement coordinated with the heater duty cycle, like that taught by Seidenfuss, for the system or method of Shimasaki for the added benefit that the duty cycle of the heater not be altered by the temperature measurement. In particular, Shimasaki presumably has set the duty cycle for the heater to a particular level that provides a desired temperature control. However, if any temperature measurement of Shimasaki would happen to occur during a time when the heater is supposed to be on (i.e. the ECU has turned switch Tr on), then the temperature measurement of Shimasaki would alter the effective duty cycle for the heater because the measurement means would have de-energized the heater irrespective of the duty cycle set for

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757

Art Unit: 1795

switch Tr. Utilizing Seidenfuss, which only measures a temperature when the heater was supposed to be off anyway would thereby prevent an altering of the effective heater duty cycle.

Page 4

4. With respect to the temperature measurement being calculated during a plurality of successive de-energized periods, the temperature calculation routine of Shimasaki is apparently only performed a single time for the purpose of either calculating an appropriate VEHC and TON when Tcat is between A and B or determining that no heater current supply is necessary for the EHC unit. However, Jelden teaches that temperature measurements both before and after a catalyst can be utilized for monitoring the conversion rate of the catalyst. See fig. 2 and col. 1, 11. 37-64. Because Shimasaki has already provided a temperature sensor within the exhaust line for determining whether current need be supplied to the heater catalyst upon engine start up, then it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to extend the utility of that temperature measurement device other uses of temperature sensor in exhaust line known in the art, such as for determining the catalyst efficiency as suggested by Jelden, to yield the predictable result of providing an appropriate measure of the catalyst efficiency as well. With respect to monitoring this temperature in successive deenergized periods of the heater duty cycle, Shimasaki already discloses the use of a duty cycle for its heater (col. 5, ll. 5-18) and Seidenfuss teaches only monitoring the temperature of a heater when the heater is de-energized so as to avoid the need for high current measuring resistors and to avoid the need for interrupting the normal heater cycle (col. 2, 11. 1-15 and 1. 64 - col. 3, 1. 9). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to provide the temperature measurements needed by Jelden during successive de-energized periods of the heater cycle.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

5. With respect to determining the oxygen content as well, the oxygen sensor is clearly also being utilized to determine the oxygen content of the exhaust gas as well. See the abstract. Furthermore, the temperature is being determined just after engine ignition and before the engine has warmed up (i.e. prior to the coolant temperature reaching a predetermined value). See Shimasaki, fig. 2 and col. 5, 1, 48 through col. 6, 1, 16.

- 6. With respect to comparing a first exhaust gas temperature to a second exhaust gas temperature, Jelden teaches the use of comparing first and second exhaust gas temperature at different locations in the exhaust gas system. See fig. 1 and col. 1, Il. 37-64.
- 7. With respect to an additional oxygen sensor upstream from the catalyst, Shimasaki disclose an oxygen sensor 50 upstream from the catalysts (20, 22) with oxygen sensor 52 being downstream from these catalysts. See fig. 1 and col. 4, Il. 19-35.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1-5 and 16 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 5-2-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 6 and 12, applicant urges that Shimasaki is drawn to determining whether to enable the catalyst heater, which is precisely when the heater for the airfuel sensor needs to be fully on. Thus, reading the temperature only during de-energized periods would effectively render the proposed combination of Shimasaki and Seidenfuss useless. This is unpersuasive on a number of levels. First, despite applicant's assertion that Shimasaki wouldn't

want to de-energize its heater, Shimasaki explicitly suggests doing so. See col. 5, Il. 8-15 where Shimasaki teaches that its heater has a pulse-width modulated duty cycle. Hence, Shimasaki is teaching turning on and off the heater anyway irrespective of whether the oxygen sensor still needs to be warmed or not. Second, in the embodiment of fig. 13 which is what the examiner principally relied on, Shimasaki teaches that measuring the temperature of the exhaust at a time when the heater current to the sensor is not turned on provides a more correct measurement of the temperature. See col. 7, l. 65 - col. 8, l. 3. Hence, despite what applicant urges, Shimasaki teaches that it would be desirable to only measure the temperature when the sensor heater is denergized. Finally, applicant's discussion of the rejection of claims 6 and 12 ignore the fact that Jelden is also part of this rejection and Jelden teaches that there are additional reasons for monitoring the temperature of the exhaust gas line that go beyond the specific reasons for Shimasaki. See paragraph 4 of the 2-11-2008 office action. Applicant's arguments do not address this further teaching of Jelden.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757 Page 7

Art Unit: 1795

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to KAJ K. OLSEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1344. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kaj K Olsen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

August 8, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/661,757

Page 8

Art Unit: 1795